•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/059,940	SONG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after final</u>		
(1) <u>M. Alexandra Elve</u> .	(3) <u>-</u> .	
(2) <u>Paul Teng</u> .	(4) <u>-</u> .	
Date of Interview: 27 April 2004	Time: <u>10:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: claim 5		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance . interview, since the interview
•		
11/1/1-5		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Applicant		unature — ii appropriate;

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the after final amendments put the application in condition for allowance, however, claim 5 depended on newly canceled claim 4. Applicant's representative stated that the claim dependency should be changed to claim 1. Thus claim 5 now depends on claim 1; by Examiner's amendment.